1 3.12 POPULATION AND HOUSING

POPULATION AND HOUSING – Would the Project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?				\boxtimes
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				

2 3.12.1 Environmental Setting

- 3 The Project area is comprised of the Bay and open space. Although there are no
- 4 houses located at the Project site, the area is adjacent to residential and commercial
- 5 development (see Figures 2-1 and 1-3). There are houses located within several
- 6 hundred feet of the riprap. The houses both in the City and town of Rodeo are
- 7 separated from the pipeline work at the Project site by the Park and the UPRR ROW.

8 3.12.2 Regulatory Setting

- 9 No Federal or State laws relevant to this issue area are applicable to the Project. Local
- 10 goals, policies, and/or regulations applicable to this issue area are summarized below.
- 11 The City has General Plan elements that address population and housing. The City
- 12 published the "City of Hercules, California, General Plan" in September 1998. The
- 13 Housing Element was approved in February 2003, and the New Pacific Properties
- 14 Specific Plan was adopted in 2000.

15 **3.12.3 Impact Analysis**

- 16 a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example,
- by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through
- 18 extension of roads or other infrastructure)?
- 19 No Impact. The Project would remove an existing offshore submerged pipeline, and
- 20 grout and abandon in place the onshore portion of the pipeline. No residential
- 21 development or infrastructure construction is proposed as part of the Project. Therefore,
- there would be no direct or indirect population growth from the Project.

- 1 b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the
- 2 construction of replacement housing elsewhere?
- 3 No Impact. The Project would not displace any residential housing units or require
- 4 replacement housing. All work would be within the Bay, the CSLC onshore property, or
- 5 the UPRR ROW, and would not affect any housing (see Figure 2-1).
- 6 c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of
- 7 replacement housing elsewhere?
- 8 **No Impact.** The Project would not displace any people or require replacement housing.
- 9 All Project-related work would be completed within the Bay, the CSLC onshore property,
- or the UPRR ROW, and would not affect any housing or displace any residents.
- 11 3.12.4 Mitigation Summary
- 12 The Project would not result in significant impacts; therefore, no mitigation is required.